Copyright © 2020 KSAE / 177-05 pISSN 1225-6382 / eISSN 2234-0149 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.7467/KSAE.2020.28.8.551

# Method of Estimating the Basic Failure Rate and Deriving the Failure Mode and Failure Mode Distribution Rate for Evaluating Random Hardware Failure

Byoungkyu Park\* • Seunghwan Lee

Engineering Division, SPID Co. Ltd., 145 Gasan digital 1-ro, Geumcheon-gu, Seoul 08506, Korea (Received 25 February 2020 / Revised 20 May 2020 / Accepted 26 May 2020)

**Abstract**: Products containing hardware parts with ASIL B or higher levels must perform quantitative assessments of random hardware failures to ensure that they are within the target values. The FMEDA technique is used for this quantitative assessment. To perform FMEDA, input parameters like basic failure rate values, failure mode and failure mode distribution rates for safety-related components, and diagnostic coverage values for safety mechanisms must be prepared. This paper deals with the method of calculating the basic failure rate, deriving the failure mode, and calculating of the failure mode distribution, excluding the diagnostic coverage value of the safety mechanism.

**Key words**: Functional safety, ISO 26262, Random hardware failure, Base failure rate, Failure mode, Failure mode distribution rate, Failure mode effects and diagnostics analysis, Quantitative safety analysis

#### **Nomenclature**

ASIL : automotive safety integrity level

BFR : base failure rate

CAN : controller area network

CMOS : complementary metal oxide semiconductor EEC : evaluation of each cause of safety goal violation

FIT : failure in time

FMEDA: failure mode effects and diagnostics analysis

MCU : micro control unit

PMHF : probabilistic metric for random hardware failures

#### 1. Introduction

To perform quantitative evaluation of random hardware failures, as required for functional safety under ISO 26262, the following must be performed:<sup>1)</sup>

- 1) evaluation of the hardware architectural metrics; and
- 2) residual risk assessment for safety goal violation.

The first, "evaluation of the hardware architectural metrics," is designed to check whether the single-point fault rate and the multi-point latent fault rate present in the hardware to be analyzed are within the allowable range of values, and the evaluation unit is %.

The second, "residual risk assessment for safety goal violation," is for checking whether the residual risk of safety goal violation is sufficiently low for the hardware to be analyzed, and the evaluation unit is Fit. For this evaluation, ISO26262-5:2018 provides two alternative methods: probabilistic metrics for random hardware failure(PMHF) and evaluation of each cause of safety goal violation(EEC). "Residual risk assessment for safety goal violation" uses either PMHF or EEC. 1) The evaluation is usually performed using PMHF, a global probability approach, unless otherwise requested by the customer.

Under the current situation, failure mode effects and diagnostics analysis(FMEDA) is the only practical means to evaluate both 1) and 2) above among the quantitative safety analysis techniques presented in ISO 26262-10:2018. To perform FMEDA, it is necessary to determine the base failure rate(BFR) value, failure mode, and failure mode distribution rate for the safety-related components present in the circuit under analysis. In addition to this, it is necessary to define a safety mechanism that is a technical solution designed to eliminate or suppress the probable single-point faults and multiple-point latent faults that may violate the safety goals. In particular, the diagnostic coverage value for

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, E-mail: pbk@espid.com

<sup>\*</sup>This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

each fault or failure mode that the defined safety mechanism protects should be calculated.<sup>2)</sup>

This paper deals with the calculation or derivation of the BFR value, failure mode, and failure mode distribution rate for the hardware components to be analyzed, which are the main input parameters required when performing FMEDA.

# 2. Base Failure Rate (BFR)

#### 2.1 Definition of BFR

In functional safety ISO26262-1:2018, BFR is defined as "failure rate of a hardware element in a given application use case used as an input to safety analyses." 1) The unit of failure rate  $\lambda$  (lambda) is Fit, and 1 Fit is  $10^{-9}$ /h. This statistically means the probability of a failure occurring once per billion hours, and it is related to the mean time to failure (MTTF), which is the average failure time, when repair is impossible. That is, as MTTF= $1/\lambda$ , the life of a product with 1 Fit is 10<sup>9</sup> h. In the case of semiconductors, these basic BFRs can be classified into a permanent failure rate in which faults are maintained until they are removed or repaired, and a temporary failure rate in which faults are formed and disappear.

For reference, the same unit called "Fit" is used for both the BFR and the PMHF value, which is one of the results of performing FMEDA. These two values, however, are totally distinguished from each other for the following reasons.

BFR, which is used as an input for quantitative safety analysis, is a device-specific failure rate determined not only by the inherent properties of the material but also by various factors associated with the manufacturing process characteristics, the environmental characteristics(e.g., internal and external temperatures), and the operating conditions(e.g., operating cycles).

On the other hand, the PMHF value is a failure rate that reflects the diagnostic coverage value of a safety mechanism that classifies the faults for each failure mode by safety goal, using BFR as an input, and that protects the classified faults. As such, it is a value that varies greatly depending on the set safety goals and safety requirements, safety mechanisms, and design methods.

## 2.2 Sources for BFR Calculation

According to ISO26262-11:2018, 4.6.1.6, BFR values can be calculated based on the sources cited below.<sup>4)</sup>

- 1) Failure rates derived from the following experimental testing:
  - ① high-temperature operating life tests for intrinsic product operation reliability
  - 2 temperature, bias, and operating life tests, known as extended life tests
  - 3 convergence characteristic of acceleration tests for screening (to find faults/failures, etc.)
- 2) Failure rates derived from field accident observations, such as analysis of materials returned from field failures
- 3) Failure rates estimated from the application of the industry reliability data book or derived and combined with experts' assessment

In the case of 1), which is based on the reliability evaluation, it is a statistical approach based on the number of failures compared to the sampling, and the failure rate value can be changed according to the test methods. In the case of 2), which is based on the field data, it is a statistical approach based on the number of failures returned from the field compared to the quantity of components being operated in the field, and the failure rate value may be changed according to the operating environment. ISO26262-11:2018 proposes the exponential model method for methods 1) and 2). The model uses the  $\chi^2$ (chi square) statistical function and recommends an around 70 % confidence level.49

In the case of 3), the permanent failure rate for the hardware parts is calculated using the data displayed in various tables, along with the mathematical modeling for each hardware part according to the industry reliability data book. At this time, various parameters to be entered in the mathematical modeling are selected or obtained according to experts' judgment.

# 2.3 Industry Reliability Data Book

The relevant industries provide various industry data books. The typical data books, as presented by ISO26262-5:2018, include SN 29500, IEC 61709, MIL HDBK 217 F notice 2, RIAC HDBK 217 Plus, UTE C80-811, NPRD-2016, EN 50129:2003, Annex C, RIAC FMD-2016, MIL HDBK 338, and FIDES 2009 EdA.<sup>1)</sup> Of these, the data books that are currently recognized and actively being used by the domestic industries are IEC TR 62380 and SN 29500.

1) RDF-2000 Module(IEC TR 62380): This is a reliability data handbook published by UTE(Union Technique de l' Electricite) and designed to predict the failure rate of electronic devices based on UTE C 80-810. The IEC TR 62380 reliability prediction method, taking into account the effects of a phased mission profile for operating and non-operating components, explains the effects of thermal cycling for component failure rates due to the changes in the ambient temperatures and to the on/off states of the component switches.<sup>5)</sup> For its advantages, it is optimized to calculate the failure rate of semiconductors. For reference, IEC TR 62380 was withdrawn by IEC in 2017, but with the sector of semiconductors applied in ISO 26262-11:2018, it can be used to predict the semiconductor failure rates.

- 2) Siemens SN 29500: Developed by Siemens and its affiliates in Germany to be used a unified standard for reliability prediction, SN 29500 is based on IEC 61709<sup>5)</sup> and simplifies the input parameters for calculating failure rates by selecting table data according to the hardware element.
- 3) IEC 61709: By integrating IEC 61709 2<sup>nd</sup> edition and IEC TR 62380:2004, the third edition was published in 2017. It provides a guideline for using the failure rate date for the prediction of the reliability of electric and electronic parts, but it does not provide the BFR for hardware parts. It provides a model for converting a failure rate obtained through a means different from one operating condition to another.<sup>5)</sup>
- 4) MIL-HDBK-217: This U.S. Department of Defense standard was designed to predict the reliability of electronic parts, and it has been the mainstay for reliability predictions for about 40 years. MIL-HDBK-217 provides two reliability prediction methods, as shown below. <sup>5)</sup>
  - ① The method calculating the number of parts: This is the simpler method to use in the early design phase, and it needs information like the quality, quantity, and environment.
  - ② The parts stress method: More complicated, this method needs detailed information on the temperature conditions and electrical stress. It is used in designing hardware and circuits.
- 5) NPRD 2016: It deals with the failure rates of various items, including machines, electronic and mechanical parts, and assemblies. It provides detailed failure rate data for over 25,000 parts with regard to a vast number

- of categorized parts grouped by environmental and quality levels.<sup>5)</sup>
- 6) FIDES 2009: This is a reliable data book that was developed by a French industrial consortium under the supervision of the French Ministry of Defense. The FIDES methodology, which is based on the physics of failure, provides support through test data, return from the field, and analysis of existing modeling.<sup>5)</sup>

#### 2.4 Examples of BFR Calculation

This section provides examples of calculating BFR for semiconductor elements according to the electronic parts reliability prediction models IEC TR 62380 and SN29500, as well as examples of calculating BFR for hardware elements using the  $\chi^2$  (chi square) statistical function.

# 2.4.1 Electronic Parts Reliability Prediction Model: IEC TR 62380

The following are easy-to-understand examples of calculating the BFR of microprocessors provided by IEC TR 62380. These examples do not mention several methods of combining the integrated-circuit product group type value  $\lambda_1$  and the semiconductor mastering technology-related vale  $\lambda_2$  according to the various technologies (CPU, memory, peripherals, etc.) implemented in semiconductors, as described in ISO26262-11:2018, 4.6.2.1.1.1.

- Mission profile: Considering the temperatures and operating environment<sup>6</sup>
  - ① Overall working time: 500 h
  - ② 3 temperature phases (3 temperature states of vehicles):
    - Motor still cold
    - Motor warm
    - Motor runs with high speed (hot)
  - ③ 3 cycles of operation (3 operating states of vehicles):
    - 2 night trips per day
    - 4 day trips per day
    - 30-day shutdown
- 2) Mathematical models for semiconductor integrated circuits<sup>6)</sup>:

$$\lambda = (\lambda_{die} + \lambda_{package} + \lambda_{overstress}) \times 10^{-9} / h$$
 (1)

$$\lambda_{die} = \left\{ \lambda_1 \times N \times e^{-0.35 \times a} + \lambda_2 \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{y} (\pi_t)_i \times \tau_i}{\tau_{on} + \tau_{off}} \right\}$$
 (2)

#### where

 $\lambda_1$ : per-transistor base failure rate of the integrated circuit family

 $\lambda_2$  : failure rate related to the technology mastering of the integrated circuit

N: number of transistors of the integrated circuit

a: [(year of manufacture) - 1998]

 $(\pi_i)_i$ :  $i^{th}$  temperature factor related to the  $i^{th}$  junction temperature of the integrated circuit mission profile

 $au_i$ : i<sup>th</sup> working time ratio of the integrated circuit for the i<sup>th</sup> junction temperature of the mission profile

 $au_{
m on}$  : total working time ratio of the integrated circuit

 $au_{
m off}$  : time ratio for the integrated circuit in storage (or dormant)

$$\lambda_{package} = 2.75 \times 10^{-3} \times \pi_{\alpha} \times \left( \sum_{i=1}^{z} (\pi_{n})_{i} \times (\Delta T_{i})^{0.68} \right) \times \lambda_{3}$$
 (3)

#### where

 $\pi_a$ : influence factor related to the thermal expansion coefficient difference between the mounting substrate and the package material

 $(\pi_n)_i$ : i<sup>th</sup> influence factor related to the annual cycles' number of thermal variations seen by the package, with amplitude  $\Delta T_i$ 

 $\Delta T_i$ : i<sup>th</sup> thermal amplitude variation of the mission profile

 $\lambda_3$ : base failure rate of the integrated circuit package

$$\lambda_{overstress} = \pi_I \times \lambda_{EOS} \tag{4}$$

# where

 $\pi_I$  : influence factor related to the use of the integrated circuit (interface or not)

 $\lambda_{EOS}$  : failure rate related to the electrical overstress in the considered application

3) Information of the analysis target: Microprocessor<sup>6)</sup>

- Application type: Passenger compartment

- Year of manufacture: 1999

- Technology: Numerical CMOS

- Transistor number: 1.5×10<sup>6</sup>

- Dissipated power by the component: 0.5 W

- Airflow factor: Natural convection

- PQFP 80-pin package

- Mounting substrate: FR4

- This circuit is not an interface.

4) Calculate the  $\lambda_{die}$  value using equation (2).

① To find the  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  values for microprocessors and numerical CMOS, refer to IEC TR 62380 Table 16.69

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 3.4 \times 10^{-6}, \lambda_2 = 1.7$$

② Number of transistors,  $N = 1.5 \times 10^6$ 

③ Find the *a* value according to the year of manufacture.

$$\Rightarrow a = 1999 - 1998 = 1$$

④ To find the  $\pi_t$  value, first, find the  $\Delta T_j$  (increased junction temperatures) value. For this, one must know the ambient heat resistance of the PQFP 80-pin package. Thus, refer to IEC TR 62380 Table  $12^{6}$  to obtain the following formula:

$$RTH_{ja} = \left(0.4 + 0.6K\right) \left(27 + \frac{2260}{S+3}\right) \tag{5}$$

where

K: airflow factorS: number of pins

Under the given conditions, K is natural convection, and it is 1.4 according to IEC TR 62380 Table 13.<sup>6)</sup> Under the given conditions, likewise, S is 80. Therefore, the  $RTH_{ja}$  value is 67 °C/W.

Table 1 IEC TR 62380: Table 11 - Mission profiles for automotives<sup>6)</sup>

Mission profile phases	Тет	<b>5.</b> 1	Temp	o. 2	Temp	o. 3	Ratios	on/off	2 nig	ht starts	4 day li	ght starts	Non use	d vehicle
Application types	(t <sub>ac</sub> ) <sub>1</sub> °C	$ au_1$	(t <sub>ac</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> °C	$ au_2$	(t <sub>ac</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> °C	$ au_3$	$ au_{on}$	$ au_{o\!f\!f}$	n <sub>1</sub> cycles/ year	$\Delta T_1$ °C/cycle	n <sub>2</sub> cycles/ year	$\Delta T_2$ °C/cycle	n <sub>3</sub> cycles/ year	$\Delta T_3$ °C/cycle
Motor control	32	0.020	60	0.015	85	0.023	0.058	0.942	670	$\frac{\Delta Tj}{3}$ +55	1340	$\frac{\Delta Tj}{3}$ +45	30	10
Passenger compartment	27	0.006	30	0.046	85	0.006	0.058	0.942	670	$\frac{\Delta Tj}{3}$ +30	1340	$\frac{\Delta Tj}{3}$ +20	30	10

$$\Delta T_j = RTH_{ja} \times \text{dissipated power}$$
 (6)  
 $\Delta T_i = 67 \text{ °C/W} \times 0.5 \text{ W} = 34 \text{ °C}$ 

⑤ Refer to the three state values of temperature provided in the Table 1 mission profile to find the  $(\pi_{i})_{i}$  value. The temperature factor  $\pi_{i}$  for numerical CMOS is as shown below.<sup>6)</sup>

$$\pi_{t} = \left[ A \left( \frac{1}{328} - \frac{1}{273 + t_{j}} \right) \right] \tag{7}$$

where

A : A = 3480; (Ea=0.3 eV)  $t_i$  : junction temperature in °C

$$t_i = (t_{\rm ac})_{\rm i} + \Delta T_i \tag{8}$$

where

 $(t_{ac})_i$ : average ambient temperature of the printed circuit board (PCB) near the components, where the temperature gradient is cancelled

Based on equation (8),

$$t_1 = 27 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} + 34 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} = 61 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$$

$$t_2 = 30 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} + 34 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} = 64 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$$

$$t_3 = 85 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} + 34 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} = 119 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$$

When these are substituted into equation (7) for calculation, the values below are obtained.

$$(\pi_t)_I = 1.21$$

$$(\pi_t)_2 = 1.33$$

$$(\pi_t)_3 = 5.5$$

⑥ The  $\tau_i$  value is provided in the Table 1 mission profile, and the values below are obtained.

$$\tau_1 = 0.006$$

$$\tau_2 = 0.046$$

$$\tau_3 = 0.006$$

 $\bigcirc$   $\tau_{on}$  the  $\tau_{off}$  value is likewise provided in the Table 1 mission profile, and the values below are obtained.

$$\tau_{on}=0.058$$

$$\tau_{off} = 0.942$$

As disclosed in ISO 26262-11:2018, 4.6.2.1.1.2<sup>4)</sup>, however, to calculate the conservative temperature de-rating factor, set  $\tau_{off}$  to 0.

8 Substitute all the above parameters into equation (2) to calculate the  $\lambda_{die}$  value. Then the failure rate of  $\lambda_{die}$  will become 9.25 Fit.

- 5) Using equation (3), calculate the  $\lambda_{package}$  value.
  - ① Using equation (9) below, find the  $\pi_a$  value.<sup>6)</sup>

$$\pi_a = 0.06 \times (|\alpha_S - \alpha_C|)^{1.68} \tag{9}$$

 $a_{\rm s}$  (substrate) and  $a_{\rm c}$  (component) become 16 and 21.5, respectively, according to IEC TR 62380 Table 14. Therefore, the  $\pi_a$  value is 1.05.

- ② Refer to IEC TR 62380 Table 17a to find the  $\lambda_3$  value. Under the given conditions, the  $\lambda_3$  value for PQFP 80 pins is 10.2 Fit. For reference, if the package type and size are not listed in IEC TR 62380 Table 17a, refer to IEC TR 62380 Table 17b to calculate the  $\lambda_3$  value.<sup>6</sup>)
- ③ Based on equation (10), find the  $\Delta T_i$  value.<sup>6)</sup>

$$\Delta T_i = \left[ \frac{\Delta T_j}{3} + (t_{ac})_{average} \right] - (t_{ae})_i \tag{10}$$

where

 $(t_{ac})_{average}$ : average temperature during the working phases  $(t_{ae})_i$ : average outside ambient temperature surrounding the equipment, during the  $i^{th}$  phase of the mission profile

Obtain the average temperature ( $t_{ac}$ )<sub>average</sub> value during the operation phase using equation (11).

When calculated by referring to the Table 1 mission profile, the  $(t_{ac})_{average}$  value is 35 °C.

$$(t_{ac})_{average} = \{(t_{ac})_1 \times \tau_1 + (t_{ac})_2 \times \tau_2 + (t_{ac})_3 \times \tau_3\} / \tau_{an}$$
(11)

To obtain the  $(t_{ae})_i$  value, refer to IEC TR 62380 Table 8.<sup>6)</sup> This table indicates that the temperature for the night is 5 °C and the temperature for the day is 15°C in the world climate type. Therefore,

$$(t_{ae})_1 = 5$$
 °C; and

$$(t_{ae})_2 = 15$$
 °C.

Substitute the above values into equation (10) to calculate and obtain the values below.

$$\Delta T_1 = \{(34 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}/3) + 35 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}\} - 5 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} = 41 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$$

$$\Delta T_2 = \{(34 \, {}^{\circ}\text{C}/3) + 35 \, {}^{\circ}\text{C}\} - 15 \, {}^{\circ}\text{C} = 31 \, {}^{\circ}\text{C}$$

In addition,  $\Delta T_3$  is calculated as shown below, by applying the value recorded in the Table 1 mission profile under the given condition of not running vehicles for 30 days in a year.

$$\Delta T_3 = 10 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$$

4 Obtain the  $(\pi_n)_i$  value. The three vehicle operation

cycles in a year specified in the Table 1 mission profile are all within 8760 h, so the equation applied to  $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_n)_i$  is equation (12) below.<sup>6</sup>

$$(\pi_n)_i = n_i^{0.76} \tag{12}$$

- ⑤ Substitute all the above parameters into equation (3) to calculate the  $\lambda_{package}$  value. Then the failure rate of  $\lambda_{package}$  is 126 Fit.
- 6) Calculate the  $\lambda_{overstress}$  value using equation (4).
  - ① Find the  $\pi_I$  value. In the case of microprocessors, they are generally not directly connected to the external environment, so  $\pi_I = 0$ .
  - ② Find the  $\lambda_{EOS}$  value. IEC TR 62380<sup>6)</sup> does not present  $\lambda_{EOS}$  for the electrical environment of vehicles. Instead, you can choose "electronic engineering for private aviation," so  $\lambda_{EOS}$  is 20 Fit.
  - ③ Substitute all the above parameters into equation (4) to calculate the  $\lambda_{overstress}$  value. Then the failure rate of  $\lambda_{overstress}$  is  $\pi_I \times \lambda_{EOS} = 0$  Fit.

For reference, the semiconductor devices directly connected to the external environment include a communication transceiver IC, a regulator IC, and the like. As these devices have  $\pi_I = 1$ , the  $\lambda_{overstress}$  value is 20 Fit.

1) Finally, the BFR value for microprocessors is calculated as shown below, using equation (1).

$$\lambda = 9.25 \text{ Fit} + 126 \text{ Fit} + 0 \text{ Fit} = 135.25 \text{ Fit}$$

# 2.4.2 Reliability Prediction Model for Electronic Parts: SN29500

SN29500<sup>7)</sup> has the advantage of simplifying the input parameters for calculating the failure rate because it uses the table search method. If appropriate data are not available in the table, however, it has to take the trouble of estimating the data value using interpolation or extrapolation. Further, as mentioned in ISO26262-11:2018, 4.6.2.1.2.4<sup>4)</sup>, unlike IEC TR 62380<sup>6)</sup>, SN29500<sup>7)</sup> does not provide the method of dividing the failure rates into the package failure rate and the die failure rate for integrated circuits. Thus, if the two failure rates are needed individually, they should be determined based on an expert's judgment.<sup>4)</sup> For this reason, if FMEDA will be performed at the semiconductor level, it will be more convenient to use IEC TR 62380<sup>6)</sup> than SN29500<sup>7)</sup>.

The following are easy-to-understand versions of the

examples provided in ISO26262-11:2018, 4.6.2.1.2.2.<sup>4)</sup> The reference document designed for calculating the BFR for integrated circuits is SN29500-2:2010.<sup>7)</sup> The parameters needed for the calculation are shown below.<sup>4)</sup>

- N number of equivalent transistors
- $\lambda_{ref}$  basic failure rate for the hardware component, based on the process technology
- $\Delta T_i$  junction temperature increase
- Mission profile of the hardware component
  - 1) Information of the failure rates to be calculated<sup>4)</sup>:

    The target is a PQFP 144-pin microprocessor that has 986,432 transistors, including a digital CMOS-type microprocessor and SRAM. The operation conditions are the same as in the previous example of '2.4.1 Electronic parts reliability prediction model: IEC TR 62380' but they are only different in 'motor control' in the Table 1 mission profile.
  - 2) Mathematical models for the integrated circuits of semiconductors<sup>7)</sup>:

There are four models in all according to the type of integrated circuit. In this example, the model applied to the target for which the failure rate should be calculated is ④.

① Analog integrated circuits with a wide operating voltage range(operating amplifiers, comparators, and voltage monitors)

$$\lambda = \lambda_{ref} \times \pi_U \times \pi_T \times \pi_D \tag{13}$$

② All analog integrated circuits with a fixed operating voltage

$$\lambda = \lambda_{ref} \times \pi_T \times \pi_D \tag{14}$$

③ Digital CMOS B(CMOS 4000) products group

$$\lambda = \lambda_{ref} \times \pi_U \times \pi_T \tag{15}$$

4 All other integrated circuits

$$\lambda = \lambda_{ref} \times \pi_T \tag{16}$$

where

 $\lambda_{ref}$ : failure rate under the reference conditions

 $\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle U}$  : voltage dependence factor  $\pi_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$  : temperature dependence factor

 $\pi_D$ : drift sensitivity factor

Table 2 SN 29500-2:2010-09, Table 2. Failure rates for microprocessors and peripherals, microcontrollers, and signal processors<sup>7)</sup>

	Degree of integration						
No. of gates	≤1k	>1k - 10k	>10k - 100k	>100k-1M	1M-10M	10M-100M	
No. of transistors	≤5k	>5k - 50k	>50k - 500k	>500k-5M	5M-50M	>50M-500M	$\theta_{vj,1}$
		$\lambda_n$	ef in FIT			in °C	
CMOS	25	-	-	-	-	-	50
	-	30	-	-	-	-	60
	-	-	50	-	-	-	80
	-	-	-	80	120	(150)	90

# 3) Finding the $\lambda_{ref}$ value

When referring to the table below for the calculation, the  $\lambda_{ref}$  value with 986,432 ( $\rightleftharpoons$ 1M) transistors is found to be 80 Fit.

# 4) Finding the $\Delta T_i$ value

For the calculation, refer to equations (5) and (6) of IEC TR 62380. First, substitute the ambient thermal resistance value for the PQFP 144-pin package into equation (5) for calculation. Then it is 52.54 °C/W. Where the K value is 1.4, it is the same as that of the previous example. Next, substitute equation (6) to calculate the  $\Delta T_j$  value. The operation conditions are the same as in the previous example, and the power consumption is 0.5 W; therefore,  $\Delta T_j = 52.54$  °C/W × 0.5 W = 26.27 °C.

# 5) Finding the $\pi_T$ value

For the calculation, refer to the vehicle mission profile in Table 1 to calculate the temperature dependence factor  $\pi_T$  according to the operation time.

# ① Mathematical models for $\pi_T^{7}$ :

$$\pi_T = \frac{A \times e^{Ea_1 \times z} + (1 - A) \times e^{Ea_2 \times z}}{A \times e^{Ea_1 \times z_{ref}} + (1 - A) \times e^{Ea_2 \times z_{ref}}}$$
(17)

$$Z = 11605 \times \left(\frac{1}{T_{\text{U,ref}}} - \frac{1}{T_2}\right) \quad \text{in } \frac{1}{\text{eV}}$$
 (18)

$$Z_{ref} = 11605 \times \left(\frac{1}{T_{\text{U.ref}}} - \frac{1}{T_{1}}\right) \text{ in } \frac{1}{\text{eV}}$$
 (19)

#### where

 $T_{U,ef}$ :  $\theta_{U,ref}$  + 273 in Kelvin  $T_I$ :  $\theta_{VJ,I}$  + 273 in Kelvin  $T_2$ :  $\theta_{VJ,2}$  + 273 in Kelvin

 $\theta_{U,ref}$ : reference ambient temperature in °C

 $\theta_{\mathit{VJ},\mathit{I}}$  : reference virtual(equivalent) junction temperature in °C

$$\theta_{VJ,2}$$
 :  $\theta_{VJ,2} = \theta_U + \Delta\theta$  actual virtual(equivalent) junction temperature in °C

 $\theta_U$  : mean ambient temperature of the component in °C

$$\Delta \theta : \Delta \theta = P \times R_{th}$$

increase in temperature due to self-heating(the self-heating of CMOS circuits is also frequency-dependent)

P : power dissipation

 $R_{th}$ : thermal resistance(junction-environment)

A,  $E_{al}$ ,  $E_{a2}$ : constants

# ② A, $E_{al}$ , $E_{a2}$ , and $\theta_{U,ref}$ values for integrated circuits: Refer to the table below.

Table 3 SN 29500-2:2010-09, Table 9. Constants<sup>7)</sup>

A	$E_{al}$ in eV	$E_{a2}$ in eV	<i>O</i> <sub>U,ref</sub> in °C
0.9	0.3	0.7	40

# 

 $T_{U,ref} = \theta_{U,ref} + 273$ , and  $\theta_{U,ref}$  is 40 °C and  $T_{U,ref} = 40 + 273 = 313$  according to Table 3. Next, to find the  $T_2$  value, find the actual virtual junction temperature  $\theta_{VJ,2}$  value.  $\theta_{VJ,2} = \theta_U + \Delta \theta$ , so first, find the temperature rise  $\Delta \theta$  due to self-heating. This value is  $P \times R_{th}$ , so it is the same as the  $\Delta T_j$  value. Next, find the average ambient temperature  $\theta_U$  value of the microprocessor. For this, apply the three temperature values to the Table 1 motor types to come up with the values below.

$$\theta_{VJ,2 (Temp.1)} = 32 \text{ °C} + 26.27 \text{ °C} = 58.27 \text{ °C}$$

$$\theta_{VJ,2 (Temp.2)} = 60 \text{ °C} + 26.27 \text{ °C} = 86.27 \text{ °C}$$

$$\theta_{VJ,2 \text{ (Temp.3)}} = 85 \text{ °C} + 26.27 \text{ °C} = 111.27 \text{ °C}$$

Therefore, the T2 values are shown below, respectively.

$$T_{2 \text{ (Temp. 1)}} = 58.27 + 273 = 331.27$$

$$T_{2 (Temp.2)} = 86.27 + 273 = 359.27$$

$$T_{2 (Temp,3)} = 111.27 + 273 = 384.27$$

When applying the  $\theta_{VJ,2}$  and  $T_2$  values to equation (18), the values below come out.

$$Z_{(Temp.1)} = 11605 \times ((1/313) - (1/331.27)) = 2.0448$$

$$Z_{(Temp.2)} = 11605 \times ((1/313) - (1/359.27)) = 4.7751$$

$$Z_{(Temp.3)} = 11605 \times ((1/313) - (1/384.27)) = 6.8766$$

# 4 Finding the $Z_{ref}$ value using equation (19)

The  $T_{U,ref}$  value is the same as ③, so it is 313.

 $T_1 = \theta_{VJ, 1} + 273$ , and the reference virtual junction

temperature  $\theta_{VJ,I}$  value is 90°C according to Table 2, so the calculation comes up with  $T_I = 90 + 273 = 363$ .

When applying the  $\theta_{VJ,I}$  and  $T_I$  values to equation (19):

 $Z_{ref} = 11605 \text{ x } ((1/313) - (1/363)) = 5.107.$  For reference, as  $Z_{ref}$  is the reference value, unlike with  $Z_r$ , the three temperature states need not be applied in the Table 1 mission profile.

⑤ Finding the  $\pi_T$  value using equation (17) First, the denominator is found as shown below.  $0.9 \times e^{(0.3 \times 5.107)} + (1 - 0.9) \times e^{(0.7 \times 5.107)} = 7.7342$ Second, the numerator is found as shown below.  $0.9 \times e^{(0.3 \times 2.0448)} + (1 - 0.9) \times e^{(0.7 \times 2.0448)} = 2.0805$   $0.9 \times e^{(0.3 \times 4.7751)} + (1 - 0.9) \times e^{(0.7 \times 4.7751)} = 6.5994$   $0.9 \times e^{(0.3 \times 6.8766)} + (1 - 0.9) \times e^{(0.7 \times 6.8766)} = 19.4001$ Therefore,

$$\pi_{T, (Temp. 1)} = 2.0805 / 7.7342 = 0.269$$
  
 $\pi_{T, (Temp. 2)} = 6.5994 / 7.7342 = 0.853$   
 $\pi_{T, (Temp. 3)} = 19.4001 / 7.7342 = 2.508$ 

Third, to find the temperature dependence factor according to the yearly operation time of 500 h, when applying the  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$  values in the Table 1 mission profile, it comes out as shown below.

$$((0.020 \times 365 \times 24) / 500) \times 0.269) +$$
  
 $((0.015 \times 365 \times 24) / 500) \times 0.853) +$   
 $((0.023 \times 365 \times 24) / 500) \times 2.508) = 1.329$ 

6) Calculating the BFR for microprocessors considering the operation phases and using equation (16):

$$\lambda = 80 \text{ Fit} \times 1.329 = 106.32 \text{ Fit}$$

- 7) Calculate the BFR for microprocessors taking into account the non-operation phase. According to SN 29500-2:2010-09, 4.4, integrated circuits are often not subject to electrical stress during the operation time of the module or equipment. Even in this case, damage to integrated circuits may happen. To take into account the corresponding failure rate, the stress factor  $\pi_W$  should be applied.<sup>7)</sup>
- ① The mathematical model for failure rates during the non-operation phase<sup>7)</sup>:

$$\lambda_{W} = \lambda \times \pi_{W} \tag{20}$$

$$\pi_W = W + R \times \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} \times (1 - W)$$
 (21)

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_{ref} \times \pi_T(\theta_0) \tag{22}$$

where

W: ratio,  $0 \le W \le 1$ 

duration of component stressing to the operating time of the equipment

R: constant, R=0.08

This takes into account that non-stressed components may also fail.

- $\lambda_0$ : failure rate at the wait-state temperature, but under electrical stress. The wait-state temperature is the component or junction temperature during the non-stress phase.
- $\lambda$ : failure rate under the actual operating or reference temperature, as in equation (13), (14), (15), or (16)
- $\theta_0$ : wait-state temperature in °C
  - ② Finding the W value

The duration of the component stress due to the operating time of the equipment, the W value, is 0.058 when the  $\tau_{on}$  value in the Table 1 mission profile is applied.

③ Finding the  $\lambda_0$  value. For this, apply equation (22).  $\lambda_{ref}$  is the same 80 Fit as before. In addition, to obtain the  $\pi_T$  ( $\theta_0$ ) value, if the atmospheric temperature  $\theta_0$  is obtained, 10 °C, the temperature at which the vehicle does not run, can be applied in the Table 1 mission profile. Actually, the atmospheric temperature  $\theta_0$  and the junction temperature increment  $\Delta T_i$  are not the same. As the temperature condition in which the vehicle does not run in the mission profile is  $\Delta T_3$ , however, that was applied. Therefore, when  $\pi_T$  (10) is calculated by applying it to equation (17),  $\pi_T$  (10) = 0.0366. At this time, the non-operation time  $\tau_{off}$  is multiplied to obtain the temperature dependence factor  $\pi_T$  according to the annual non-operation time. Then  $\pi_T(\theta_0) = 0.942 \times 0.0366 = 0.0345$ . Eventually, based on equation (22):

$$\lambda_{\theta} = 80 \times 0.0345 = 2.7592$$
 Fit

- ④ Finding the  $\pi_W$  value. For this, apply equation (21). The R value is 0.08 as a constant, and the  $\lambda$  value is 106.32 Fit, calculated in 6). Substituting these values into ② and ③ in equation (21),  $\pi_W = 0.058 + 0.08 \times (2.7592 / 104.68) \times (1-0.058) = 0.06$ .
- ⑤ Finding the  $\lambda_W$  value. For this, apply equation (20):

$$\lambda_W = 106.32 \times 0.06 = 6.3792$$
 Fit

Thus, the BFR value for the non-operational phase is 6.3792 Fit.

# 2.4.3 Calculation of BFR Using Data Statistics

The following example is the failure rate for the two failures caused when 20 products were tested for 500 h in an environment with acceleration factor (AF) = 100, using the  $\chi^2$  (chi square) statistical function, which is mentioned in ISO26262-11:2018, 4.6.2.2.1.<sup>4)</sup> Here, the confidence level for the failure rate is 70 %.

### 1) Exponential model<sup>4)</sup>:

$$\lambda = \frac{\chi_{CL;2n+2}^2 \times 10^9}{2 \times \text{cumulative operational hours} \times \text{AF}}$$
 (23)

where

n: number of failures multiplied by the correction factor

CL: confidence level value(typically 70 %)

AF : acceleration factor

#### 2) Acceleration factor (AF):

As the acceleration factor model considering the main failure mechanism of the hardware element varies depending on the acceleration factor, such as the temperature, humidity, voltage, and vibration, it should be appropriately selected according to the acceleration test conditions. For the semiconductor life acceleration test, the Arrhenius model is mainly used. For reference, the paper titled "Evaluation Method for Functional Safety of Semiconductors for Vehicles Compliant with ISO 26262 and ISO/PAS 19451" provides an example of the use of the Arrhenius model.<sup>8)</sup>

## 3) Calculation of BFR

- ① Cumulative operating time:  $20 \times 500 = 10,000$  hours
- ② Acceleration factor (AF): 100

- ③  $\chi^2_{CL;2n+2}$  calculation (using Excel functions):  $2n + 2 = (2 \times 2) + 2 = 6$ , CL = 0.7CHISQ.INV(0.7, 6) = 7.231
- ④ Therefore,

$$\lambda = (7.231 \times 10^9) / (2 \times 10000 \times 100)$$
  
= 3615.5 Fit

#### 2.5 Guide to BFR Calculation

The BFR calculation criteria for vehicle electronic components are prioritized as shown below(the number indicates the priority level).

- 1) Apply the BFR designated by the customer.
- Calculate the BFR by referring to the failure rate data book designated by the customer.
- 3) Apply the BFR provided by the parts manufacturer. In this case, however, if the environmental parameter value (temperature/humidity, etc.) where BFR is applied differs significantly from the actual value, it should be calculated by referring to the failure rate data book specified by the customer, or to your own standard.
- 4) If any route is not available, it should be calculated by referring to your own standard or to the failure rate data book specified by the customer.

#### 3. Failure Mode, Failure Mode Distribution Rate

According to ISO26262-1:2018, failure is "termination of an intended behaviour of an element or an item due to a fault manifestation," and failure mode is "manner in which an element or an item fails to provide the intended behaviour."<sup>3)</sup> To analyze these failures well, it is necessary to first grasp the function, which is the intended operation. This is because failures and failure modes depend on functions.

In the early stages of the analysis, the failure modes can be derived through reference documents like ISO 26262-5:2018 Annex D<sup>1)</sup>, ISO 26262-11:2018<sup>4)</sup>, and IEC 61709<sup>5)</sup>. Later, however, through the judgment of experts like developers, the analysis should be complemented or newly identified and reinforced. FMEA, FTA, and DFA are very useful analysis techniques for deriving these failure modes.

The failure mode distribution rate is a ratio where only one failure mode among the total failure rates of hardware elements is involved. For example, in the case of a semiconductor die, it can be gained by dividing the area occupied by individual elements among the total areas constituting the semiconductor die, or by dividing the number of equivalent gates or transistors consumed by individual elements by the total number of equivalent gates or transistors constituting a semiconductor die.<sup>4)</sup> If the size of the transistor is not uniform, however, the number of transistors existing in the same area will be different, so it is recommended that the rate be obtained based on the number of transistors rather than on the area. As a precaution, the safety mechanism is not considered in the failure mode distribution rate at this time. This is because the safety mechanism will be considered and reflected in the implementation of FMEDA.

#### 3.1 Guide to the Derivation of Failure Modes

The procedure for deriving the failure mode for the vehicle electronic components is set as in the following priority order(the number indicates the priority level).

- 1) Apply the failure mode provided by the customer.
- 2) Apply the failure mode described in the failure rate data book designated by the customer. This applies, however, only to devices conforming to Class I<sup>9)</sup>, such as passive and discrete devices.
- 3) Apply the failure mode provided by the component manufacturer(the supplier).
- 4) If the failure mode is not available through any channel, apply your own standard or the failure mode described in the failure rate data book designated by the customer to devices conforming to Class I<sup>9</sup>. Derive the failure mode for components conforming to Class II<sup>9)</sup> or Class III<sup>9)</sup> by referring to ISO26262-5:2018 Annex-D<sup>1)</sup> and ISO26262-11:2018.<sup>4)</sup> In particular, in the case of semiconductors, the examples provided in ISO26262-11:2018, 5.1.4, 5.2.2, 5.3.2, and 5.5.24 are very useful.
- 5) Apply the failure modes derived from safety analyses via FMEA/FTA/DFA. In other words, apply the experts' judgment, as shown in the examples below. Example 1): Failure mode of the CAN transceiver
  - a. Operation mode change is impossible(idle, sleep, or normal-mode access is impossible, and wake-up operation is not possible)
  - b. Diagnosis function disabled(the CAN bus status cannot be monitored, and the CAN transceiver itself cannot be diagnosed)

- c. Transmission not possible(the CAN data received from the MCU cannot be transmitted to the CAN bus)
- d. Cannot receive(cannot transmit the received CAN data to the MCU)

Example 2): In the case of Drift, a failure mode that exceeds the allowable error range, add Drift 0.5 and/or Drift 2 as a failure mode.

# 3.2 Guide for the Calculation of the Failure Mode **Distribution Rate**

The procedure for calculating the failure mode distribution rate for vehicle electronic components is set as in the priority order shown below(the number indicates the priority level).

- 1) Apply the failure mode distribution rate provided by the customer.
- 2) Apply the failure mode distribution rate described in the failure rate data book designated by the customer. This applies, however, only to devices conforming to Class I<sup>9</sup>, such as passive or discrete devices.
- 3) Apply the failure mode distribution rate provided by the component manufacturer(supplier).
- 4) If not available through any channel, apply the expert's judgment or deal with it through the 1/n process(where *n* is the number of failure modes).

# 4. Conclusion

This study defined base failure rate(BFR), the main input parameter for failure mode effects and diagnostics analysis (FMEDA), to understand BFR correctly, and showed the BFR calculation methods through different examples for application in the field. In addition, it provided a guide for the methods of deriving the failure modes and calculating the failure mode distribution rates.

The findings of this study will help in deriving a more precise and accurate analysis method in performing FMEDA to evaluate the random failures of hardware.

#### References

- 1) ISO 26262-5:2018, Road Vehicles Functional Safety Part 5: Product Development at the Hardware, 2nd Edn., 2018.
- 2) B. K. Park and S. H. Lee, "The Methods for

- Describe the Safety Mechanism and Estimate the Diagnostic Coverage in order to Conduct the Efficient FMEDA," Transactions of KSAE, Vol.26, No.6, pp.791-798, 2018.
- 3) ISO 26262-1:2018, Road Vehicles Functional Safety Part 1: Vocabulary, 2nd Edn., 2018.
- 4) ISO 26262-11:2018, Road Vehicles Functional Safety Part 11: Guidelines on Application of ISO 26262 to Semiconductors, 2nd Edn., 2018.
- 5) International Electrotechnical Commission, IEC 61709 Edition 3.0: Electric Components - Reliability - Reference Conditions for Failure Rates and Stress Models for Conversion, 2017.2.
- 6) International Electrotechnical Commission, IEC TR

- 62380 Edition 1.0: Reliability Data Handbook -Universal Model for Reliability Prediction of Electronics Components, PCBs and Equipment, 2004.
- 7) Siemens Norm, SN 29500, Part 2: Expected Values for Integrated Circuits, 2010.9.
- 8) B. C. Kim and D. S. An, "Evaluation Method for Functional Safety of Semiconductors for Vehicles Compliant with ISO 26262 and ISO/PAS 19451," The Institute of Electronics and Information Engineers, Vol.43, No.7, pp.33-43, 2016.
- 9) ISO 26262-8:2018, Road Vehicles Functional Safety Part 8: Supporting Processes, 2nd Edn., 2018.